UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,189	06/23/2006	Hongyuan Wang	1752-0183PUS1	8002
2292 7590 11/23/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,189	WANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	E DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ T 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the second	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to lethed to lethed accepted or b) objected to lethed in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) ☐ Intonious	ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,189

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris (US 5071997).

Harris teaches a polyimide or copolyimide based on a diamine of the following formula (1):

Where Z is Hydrogen and A is Alkoxy group (see Column 2, line 20).

Regarding claims 1-4, Harris teaches aromatic homo and copolyimides of the following structures:

Application/Control Number: 10/584,189

Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

30

The diamines of this invention can be used as polycondensation components for the manufacturing of novel homopolyimides and copolyimides which consist of 1-100 mole percent of the following repeat unit formula (II):

and of 0-99 mole percent of the following repeat unit of formula (III):

where R is a tetravalent organic radical, A, Z and p are as previously described, R' is one or more tetravalent organic radical and Q is one or more divalent organic radical including diamines of formula (I).

Harris teaches that in case of copolyimide, Q can be represented by 4,4' diaminophenyl ether (see Example 7).

Regarding Claim 5, Harris teaches 3,3',4,4' benzophenone tetracarboxylic dianhydride (see Example 7).

Regarding Claims 2, 4 and 8 Harris teaches that benzidine and 4,4' diaminophenyl ether are presented at 1:1 mol ratio (see Example 7).

Note that Harris does not explicitly disclosed polyamic acid, based on above components. However, it is clear from the working Examples that polyimide formation takes place through the nominal step of polyamic acid formation with following imidization.

Harris does not teach alkoxylated benzidine in his working Examples.

According to MPEP 2123, disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments (see also *In re Susi*, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971), *In re Gurley*, 27 F.3d 551, 554, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1994), *In re Fulton*, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use alkoxylated benzidine, since it clearly disclosed in the Harris reference and on eof ordinary skills would have expected a viable product to result from the use of any of the disclosed compounds.

Harris does not teach that alkoxy radical contains 3-6 carbons.

However, all aliphatic alkoxy radicals are homologs.

In accordance to MPEP 2144.09 the structural analogs are *prima facie* obvious in the absence of showing unexpected results.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to use Alkoxy radicals containing 3-6 Carbons in Harris reference, since they are structural homologs to species disclosed by the reference.

Regarding Claim 6, since Harris teaches a polyimide analogous to one of the Application examined, all physical properties of both compounds expected to be equal.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant submits that the "generic disclosure in Harris, coupled with the Harris specific disclosure of trifluoromethyl groups as embodiments of "A," would not lead persons of ordinary skill in the art to a hydrocarbon group having 3 to 6 carbon atoms".

This is incorrect. Harris explicitly teach "A" as being an alkoxy group (see Column 2, line 20).

Applicant submits Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132, signed by Dr Wang.

Dr. Wang states that he obtained polyimide, equal to one of Harris's polymer and found that the Applicant's polyimide possesses better peel strength and solvent resistance. Dr. Wang discloses a synthesis based on the following ingredients:

PMDA: pyromellitic dianhydride

BTMB: 2,2'-bis(trifuloromethyl)benzidine (for Harris's polymer)

Art Unit: 1796

m-NPOB: 2,2'-di-n-propyloxybenzidine (for Applicant's polymer)

m-PHOB: 2,2'-diphenyloxybenzidine (for Applicant's polymer)

DMAc: N,N-dimethylacetamide (solvent).

In other words, Dr. Wang demonstrates that phenoxy and alkoxy groups have an advantage over trifuloromethyl one. However, Harris explicitly discloses an alkoxy group as a substitute. Therefore, Dr. Wang's experiment has not commensurate with the scope of Harris's disclosure.

Dr. Wang concedes that alkoxy group is present in Harris's disclosure. "However, a large number of equally useful substituents other than the alkoxy groups are cited; such substituents include a vast number of groups such as substituted alkyl groups and substituted aryl groups and it is not easy to select alkoxy groups from them."

Examiner disagrees. A genus does not always anticipate a claim to a species within the genus. However, when the species is clearly named, the species claim is anticipated no matter how many other species are additionally named. *Ex parte A,* 17 USPQ2d 1716 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990) See also MPEP 2131.02.

Dr. Wang further states that Harris does not specify the number of carbons in an alkoxy group.

However, all aliphatic alkoxy groups are structural isomers. The advantage of such groups, having 3-6 carbons compare to other alkoxy substituted polyimides has not been demonstrated in the Declaration.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,189 Page 8

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James J. Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 GL